

Integrative Structure Validation Report ?

March 27, 2025 - 10:03 AM PDT

The following software was used in the production of this report:

Integrative Modeling Validation Version 2.0

Python-IHM Version 1.8

MolProbity Version 4.5.2

PDB ID	9A1L
PDB-Dev ID	PDBDEV_00000093
Structure Title	N4BP1 CUE domain in complex with ubiquitin
Structure Authors	Fornili A; Pandini A; Song W; Garnett J; Stieglitz B
Deposited on	2021-09-28

This is a PDB-IHM IM Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB-IHM entry.

We welcome your comments at helpdesk@pdb-ihm.org


A user guide is available at https://pdb-ihm.org/validation_help.html with specific help available everywhere you see the ? symbol.

List of references used to build this report is available [here](#).

Overall quality ?

This validation report contains model quality assessments for all structures, data quality and fit to model assessments for SAS and crosslinking-MS datasets. Data quality and fit to model assessments for other datasets and model uncertainty are under development. Number of plots is limited to 256.

Model Quality: MolProbity Analysis



Ensemble information ?

This entry consists of 0 distinct ensemble(s).

Summary ?

This entry consists of 1 model(s). A total of 3 datasets were used to build this entry.

Representation ?

This entry has 1 representation(s).

ID	Model(s)	Entity ID	Molecule name	Chain(s) [auth]	Total residues	Rigid segments	Flexible segments	Model coverage/ Starting model coverage (%)	Scale
1	1	1	N4BP1 CUE domain	A	47	1-47	7-25, 27-28, 37-47	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		2	Ubiquitin	B	76	1-76	4-13, 27, 34, 36, 39-51, 66-76	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic

Datasets used for modeling ?

There are 3 unique datasets used to build the models in this entry.

ID	Dataset type	Database name	Data access code
1	Integrative model	PDB	9A14
2	Experimental model	PDB	1UBQ
3	Other	Not available	Not available

Methodology and software ?

This entry is a result of 1 distinct protocol(s).

Step number	Protocol ID	Method name	Method type	Method description	Number of computed models	Multi state modeling	Multi scale modeling
1	1	Rigid-body minimization	Rigid-body minimization in HADDOCK (it0)	None	1000	False	True
2	1	Simulated annealing	Semi-flexible SA in HADDOCK (it1)	None	200	False	True
3	1	Refinement	Water refinement in HADDOCK (itw)	None	200	False	True

There is 1 software package reported in this entry.

ID	Software name	Software version	Software classification	Software location
1	HADDOCK	Not available	model building	http://haddock.science.uu.nl/services/HADDOCK/

Data quality ?

Model quality ?

For models with atomic structures, MolProbity analysis is performed. For models with coarse-grained or multi-scale structures, excluded volume analysis is performed.

Standard geometry: bond outliers ?

There are no bond length outliers.

Standard geometry: angle outliers ?

There are no bond angle outliers.

Too-close contacts ?

The following all-atom clashscore is based on a MolProbity analysis. All-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The table below contains clashscores for all atomic models in this entry.

Model ID	Clash score	Number of clashes
1	0.00	0

There are no too-close contacts.

Torsion angles: Protein backbone ?

In the following table, Ramachandran outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	119	113	6	0

Torsion angles : Protein sidechains ?

In the following table, sidechain rotameric outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	108	87	8	13

There are 13 unique sidechain outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
A	5	THR	1
A	19	SER	1
A	47	ASP	1
B	6	LYS	1
B	14	THR	1
B	18	GLU	1
B	20	SER	1
B	21	ASP	1
B	22	THR	1
B	39	ASP	1
B	40	GLN	1
B	57	SER	1
B	66	THR	1

Fit of model to data used for modeling ?

Fit of model to data used for validation ?

Validation for this section is under development.

Acknowledgments

The development of integrative model validation metrics, implementation of a model validation pipeline, and creation of a validation report for integrative structures are funded by NSF awards to the [PDB-IHM team](#) (DBI-1756248, DBI-2112966, DBI-2112967, DBI-2112968, and DBI-1756250) and awards from NSF, NIH, and DOE to the [RCSB PDB](#) (DBI-2321666, R01GM157729, and DE-SC0019749). The PDB-IHM team and members of the [Sali lab](#) contributed model validation metrics and software packages.

Dr. Jill Trehwella, Dr. Dina Schneidman, and members of the [SASBDB](#) repository are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing SAS validation methods. Team members from the labs of Dr. Juri Rappsilber, Dr. Alexander Leitner, Dr. Andrea Graziadei, and members of [PRIDE](#) database are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing crosslinking-MS validation methods. We are grateful to Dr. Shruthi Viswanath for discussions about uncertainty assessment of integrative structural models.

Members of the [wwPDB Integrative/Hybrid Methods Task Force](#) provided recommendations and community support for the project.