



# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Dec 24, 2024 – 02:23 PM EST

PDB ID : 2L29  
BMRB ID : 17127  
Title : Complex structure of E4 mutant human IGF2R domain 11 bound to IGF-II  
Authors : Williams, C.; Hoppe, H.; Rezgui, D.; Strickland, M.; Frago, S.; Ellis, R.Z.; Wattana-Amorn, P.; Prince, S.N.; Zaccheo, O.J.; Forbes, B.; Jones, E.Y.; Crump, M.P.; Hassan, A.B.  
Deposited on : 2010-08-13

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.40


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 84%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	142	 77% 14% • 6%
2	B	67	 48% 10% 42%

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis i

This entry contains 20 models. Model 10 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:1515-A:1647, B:10-B:28, B:41-B:60 (172)	1.04	10

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 19, 20
2	3, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18
3	9, 16
4	7, 11

### 3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 3146 atoms, of which 1548 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor variant.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	142	2126	672	1049	186	208	11	0

There are 5 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1544	LYS	GLU	engineered mutation	UNP Q59EZ3
A	1545	SER	LYS	engineered mutation	UNP Q59EZ3
A	1547	VAL	LEU	engineered mutation	UNP Q59EZ3
A	1648	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP Q59EZ3
A	1649	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP Q59EZ3

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Insulin-like growth factor II.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
2	B	67	1020	321	499	93	101	6	0

## 4 Residue-property plots


### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor variant




- Molecule 2: Insulin-like growth factor II



### 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble


The representative model is number 10. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor variant



- Molecule 2: Insulin-like growth factor II





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
TALOS	geometry optimization	
iCing	refinement	r765
ARIA	refinement	2.2
ARIA	structure solution	2.2
CNS	refinement	1.2
CNS	structure solution	1.2

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	2265
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2265
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	84%

## 6 Model quality i

### 6.1 Standard geometry i

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with  $|Z| > 5$  is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.97±0.09	2±2/1026 ( 0.2± 0.1%)	0.73±0.03	0±1/1393 ( 0.0± 0.1%)
2	B	1.03±0.20	2±1/309 ( 0.6± 0.5%)	0.76±0.07	0±1/417 ( 0.0± 0.2%)
All	All	0.99	83/26700 ( 0.3%)	0.73	8/36200 ( 0.0%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.9±0.8
2	B	0.0±0.0	0.2±0.5
All	All	0	23

5 of 23 unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	1592	TYR	CE2-CZ	-15.76	1.18	1.38	18	7
2	B	27	TYR	CE2-CZ	-15.75	1.18	1.38	8	4
2	B	27	TYR	CE1-CZ	15.42	1.58	1.38	8	4
1	A	1592	TYR	CE1-CZ	14.07	1.56	1.38	18	7
1	A	1542	TYR	CE2-CZ	-13.91	1.20	1.38	8	7

5 of 7 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	1592	TYR	CE1-CZ-OH	-5.79	104.47	120.10	18	2
2	B	27	TYR	CZ-CE2-CD2	5.61	124.85	119.80	8	1
2	B	27	TYR	CE1-CZ-OH	-5.60	104.97	120.10	8	1

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	B	27	TYR	CD1-CE1-CZ	-5.56	114.80	119.80	8	1
1	A	1592	TYR	CZ-CE2-CD2	5.49	124.74	119.80	18	1

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 12 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	1606	TYR	Sidechain	6
1	A	1542	TYR	Sidechain	3
1	A	1592	TYR	Sidechain	3
2	B	24	ARG	Sidechain	2
2	B	59	TYR	Sidechain	2

## 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1002	983	981	16±4
2	B	303	276	276	3±2
All	All	26100	25180	25140	365

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

5 of 162 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:1629:LEU:HD13	1:A:1636:LEU:HG	0.87	1.44	13	10
1:A:1588:LEU:HB2	1:A:1612:PHE:HB2	0.85	1.45	1	19
1:A:1629:LEU:HG	1:A:1636:LEU:HG	0.78	1.54	7	3
1:A:1533:SER:HA	1:A:1536:ALA:HB2	0.77	1.56	19	1
1:A:1563:VAL:HG21	1:A:1573:SER:HB2	0.76	1.58	13	2

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	133/142 (94%)	119±3 (89±2%)	13±3 (10±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	15	64
2	B	39/67 (58%)	34±2 (87±5%)	3±2 (9±4%)	2±1 (4±2%)	3	27
All	All	3440/4180 (82%)	3048 (89%)	329 (10%)	63 (2%)	9	52

5 of 10 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	1560	PRO	17
2	B	42	ILE	16
2	B	60	CYS	14
1	A	1618	ALA	4
2	B	28	PHE	3

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	114/123 (93%)	109±2 (95±2%)	5±2 (5±2%)	25	78
2	B	33/57 (58%)	32±1 (97±2%)	1±1 (3±2%)	36	86
All	All	2940/3600 (82%)	2813 (96%)	127 (4%)	27	80

5 of 36 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	1581	LEU	18
1	A	1636	LEU	15

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	1626	LEU	11
2	B	13	LEU	10
1	A	1647	GLU	9

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 84% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	2265
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2265
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	198	$-0.06 \pm 0.11$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	172	$0.26 \pm 0.10$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	178	$0.21 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	188	$0.48 \pm 0.20$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments i

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 1862 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2214. 0 out of 29 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	801/859 (93%)	336/352 (95%)	306/344 (89%)	159/163 (98%)
Sidechain	928/1164 (80%)	637/760 (84%)	272/362 (75%)	19/42 (45%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	133/191 (70%)	82/92 (89%)	50/94 (53%)	1/5 (20%)
Overall	1862/2214 (84%)	1055/1204 (88%)	628/800 (78%)	179/210 (85%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	1572	ILE	HB	0.06	0.35 – 3.22	-6.0


#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	3071
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	1109
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	845
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	338
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	688
Inter-chain	42
Hydrogen bond restraints	49
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	318
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	16.2
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.5

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	88.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	187.5	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	189.6	4.61

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	6.2	5.72
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.1	14.02
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

## 9 Distance violation analysis i


### 9.1 Summary of distance violations i

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
<b>Intra-residue (<math> i-j =0</math>)</b>	<b>1109</b>	<b>36.1</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>24.1</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>0.8</b>
Backbone-Backbone	177	5.8	3	1.7	0.1	1	0.6	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	644	21.0	211	32.8	6.9	17	2.6	0.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	288	9.4	53	18.4	1.7	6	2.1	0.2
<b>Sequential (<math> i-j =1</math>)</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>36.1</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>0.8</b>
Backbone-Backbone	322	10.5	35	10.9	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	455	14.8	212	46.6	6.9	14	3.1	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	68	2.2	58	85.3	1.9	12	17.6	0.4
<b>Medium range (<math> i-j &gt;1</math> &amp; <math> i-j &lt;5</math>)</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>49.7</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0.4</b>
Backbone-Backbone	86	2.8	9	10.5	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	151	4.9	87	57.6	2.8	5	3.3	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	101	3.3	72	71.3	2.3	6	5.9	0.2
<b>Long range (<math> i-j \geq 5</math>)</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>22.4</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>55.4</b>	<b>12.4</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>0.9</b>
Backbone-Backbone	108	3.5	10	9.3	0.3	1	0.9	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	287	9.3	180	62.7	5.9	16	5.6	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	293	9.5	191	65.2	6.2	12	4.1	0.4
<b>Inter-chain</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>83.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>0.1</b>
Backbone-Backbone	2	0.1	1	50.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	8	0.3	8	100.0	0.3	3	37.5	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	32	1.0	26	81.2	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hydrogen bond</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Disulfide bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3071</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1159</b>	<b>37.7</b>	<b>37.7</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	695	22.6	58	8.3	1.9	2	0.3	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	1594	51.9	701	44.0	22.8	55	3.5	1.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	782	25.5	400	51.2	13.0	36	4.6	1.2

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
1	108	126	76	153	9	472	0.53	3.09	0.43	0.42
2	105	123	67	151	12	458	0.53	4.31	0.46	0.44
3	110	129	66	134	9	448	0.5	2.98	0.35	0.41
4	111	139	71	150	8	479	0.52	3.31	0.41	0.41
5	114	122	63	150	12	461	0.6	4.56	0.54	0.44
6	106	135	72	149	11	473	0.51	2.83	0.36	0.43
7	107	126	72	173	7	485	0.54	3.27	0.47	0.41
8	104	124	69	155	10	462	0.51	3.02	0.39	0.4
9	99	123	65	167	15	469	0.51	3.18	0.38	0.41
10	107	126	73	148	12	466	0.5	2.23	0.36	0.4


*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations					Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>					
11	112	116	80	150	8	466	0.54	3.49	0.44	0.43
12	114	137	62	151	13	477	0.54	3.03	0.43	0.43
13	110	136	70	158	9	483	0.51	3.29	0.4	0.41
14	114	132	73	154	15	488	0.53	3.57	0.4	0.44
15	106	137	71	145	14	473	0.53	4.03	0.48	0.41
16	109	132	69	160	15	485	0.49	3.04	0.38	0.4
17	108	114	69	148	11	450	0.52	4.61	0.44	0.41
18	103	132	69	155	13	472	0.53	2.89	0.4	0.42
19	113	127	70	162	9	481	0.48	2.24	0.33	0.4
20	113	121	62	168	11	475	0.52	3.71	0.44	0.41

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [\(i\)](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1866(IR:842, SQ:540, MR:170, LR:307, IC:7) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
49	71	25	64	7	216	1	5.0
26	32	14	49	3	124	2	10.0
27	24	12	26	4	93	3	15.0
12	12	8	25	4	61	4	20.0
18	11	12	19	3	63	5	25.0
11	12	7	16	1	47	6	30.0
17	11	4	10	1	43	7	35.0
8	15	6	14	1	44	8	40.0
9	8	12	10	1	40	9	45.0
5	8	8	18	2	41	10	50.0
4	7	5	8	0	24	11	55.0
3	6	5	9	1	24	12	60.0
5	7	2	12	0	26	13	65.0
7	8	6	9	0	30	14	70.0
7	5	4	6	3	25	15	75.0
7	9	6	8	0	30	16	80.0
7	10	7	14	0	38	17	85.0
9	13	7	18	1	48	18	90.0
12	10	7	17	0	46	19	95.0
24	26	11	29	3	93	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations


### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [\(i\)](#)



## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [\(i\)](#)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [\(i\)](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,924)	1:1520:A:ASN:HB3	1:1527:A:PHE:HE1	20	1.81	0.69	2.12
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB1	2:53:B:LEU:HD12	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB3	2:53:B:LEU:HD13	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB2	2:53:B:LEU:HD11	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB2	2:56:B:LEU:HD22	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB3	2:56:B:LEU:HD23	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB1	2:56:B:LEU:HD23	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB2	2:56:B:LEU:HD21	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB3	2:56:B:LEU:HD21	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,271)	2:54:B:ALA:HB3	2:56:B:LEU:HD22	20	1.77	0.56	1.95
(1,2326)	2:32:B:ALA:HB2	2:31:B:PRO:HD3	20	1.73	0.3	1.85
(1,2326)	2:32:B:ALA:HB3	2:31:B:PRO:HD3	20	1.73	0.3	1.85
(1,2326)	2:32:B:ALA:HB1	2:31:B:PRO:HD3	20	1.73	0.3	1.85
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD13	2:27:B:TYR:HD2	20	1.41	1.37	0.9
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD13	2:27:B:TYR:HD1	20	1.41	1.37	0.9
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	2:27:B:TYR:HD1	20	1.41	1.37	0.9

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	2:27:B:TYR:HD2	20	1.41	1.37	0.9
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD12	2:27:B:TYR:HD2	20	1.41	1.37	0.9
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD12	2:27:B:TYR:HD1	20	1.41	1.37	0.9
(1,320)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD22	20	1.35	0.23	1.42
(1,320)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD21	20	1.35	0.23	1.42
(1,320)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD23	20	1.35	0.23	1.42
(1,320)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD12	20	1.35	0.23	1.42
(1,505)	1:1618:A:ALA:HB3	1:1616:A:PRO:HD2	20	1.25	0.24	1.37
(1,505)	1:1618:A:ALA:HB2	1:1616:A:PRO:HD2	20	1.25	0.24	1.37
(1,505)	1:1618:A:ALA:HB1	1:1616:A:PRO:HD2	20	1.25	0.24	1.37
(1,364)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD22	20	1.17	0.23	1.23
(1,364)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD21	20	1.17	0.23	1.23
(1,364)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD23	20	1.17	0.23	1.23
(1,364)	2:54:B:ALA:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD12	20	1.17	0.23	1.23
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD11	2:42:B:ILE:HD12	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD13	2:42:B:ILE:HD11	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD13	2:42:B:ILE:HD12	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD12	2:42:B:ILE:HD12	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD13	2:42:B:ILE:HD13	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD12	2:42:B:ILE:HD11	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD11	2:42:B:ILE:HD11	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,3006)	2:56:B:LEU:HD12	2:42:B:ILE:HD13	20	1.12	0.37	1.0
(1,2482)	2:13:B:LEU:HD11	2:12:B:GLU:HB3	20	1.11	0.54	1.17
(1,2482)	2:13:B:LEU:HD13	2:12:B:GLU:HB3	20	1.11	0.54	1.17
(1,2482)	2:13:B:LEU:HD12	2:12:B:GLU:HB3	20	1.11	0.54	1.17
(1,5)	1:1552:A:ILE:HD13	1:1529:A:LEU:HD13	20	1.07	0.19	1.06

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	2:27:B:TYR:HD1	17	4.61
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	2:27:B:TYR:HD2	5	4.56
(1,2504)	2:13:B:LEU:HD22	2:9:B:CYS:HB3	2	4.31
(1,2450)	2:55:B:LEU:HD12	2:58:B:THR:HG23	15	4.03
(1,2537)	2:13:B:LEU:HD22	2:9:B:CYS:HB3	2	3.94
(1,285)	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	2:27:B:TYR:HD1	20	3.71
(1,2713)	2:51:B:CYS:H	2:55:B:LEU:HD21	15	3.65
(1,646)	1:1529:A:LEU:HD13	1:1612:A:PHE:HZ	14	3.57
(1,2508)	2:14:B:VAL:HG22	2:17:B:LEU:HD13	2	3.51
(1,2508)	2:14:B:VAL:HG22	2:17:B:LEU:HD11	11	3.49

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
PHI	142	44.7	13	9.2	4.1	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	34	10.7	2	5.9	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	142	44.7	16	11.3	5.0	1	0.7	0.3
Total	318	100.0	31	9.7	9.7	1	0.3	0.3

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations				Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total				
1	2	0	7	9	2.17	4.68	1.07	1.63
2	0	0	5	5	1.94	2.69	0.48	1.93
3	2	0	4	6	2.4	4.17	1.04	1.96
4	2	0	4	6	2.53	3.84	0.81	2.26
5	2	2	4	8	4.39	14.02	3.78	3.28
6	3	1	5	9	2.04	3.3	0.74	1.75
7	2	0	4	6	2.23	4.5	1.23	1.69
8	1	0	5	6	1.95	3.26	0.71	1.67
9	2	0	8	10	2.77	5.72	1.35	2.64
10	2	0	2	4	2.03	2.86	0.69	1.99
11	1	0	4	5	2.13	4.21	1.3	1.22
12	2	0	1	3	2.1	2.93	0.6	1.84
13	3	0	2	5	1.78	3.16	0.71	1.54
14	3	0	6	9	3.1	12.91	3.65	1.22
15	2	0	3	5	2.02	3.49	0.91	1.54
16	3	0	4	7	1.83	2.63	0.66	1.91
17	3	0	5	8	1.79	3.28	0.73	1.47
18	3	0	2	5	2.2	3.13	0.84	2.69
19	2	0	6	8	2.01	3.56	0.71	1.86
20	0	0	2	2	2.13	2.2	0.07	2.13

### 10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints				Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
5	1	5	11	1	5.0
1	1	1	3	2	10.0
1	0	4	5	3	15.0
3	0	2	5	4	20.0
1	0	0	1	5	25.0
1	0	0	1	6	30.0
1	0	0	1	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	1	1	9	45.0
0	0	1	1	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	11	55.0


*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints				Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	CHI1	PSI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	1	1	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	1	1	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

### 10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)




## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean	SD <sup>2</sup>	Medi
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	20	3.11	0.96	3.03
(1,246)	1:1623:A:ARG:N	1:1623:A:ARG:CA	1:1623:A:ARG:C	1:1624:A:PRO:N	17	2.34	0.84	2.47
(1,172)	1:1569:A:GLN:N	1:1569:A:GLN:CA	1:1569:A:GLN:C	1:1570:A:THR:N	10	2.05	0.74	1.73
(1,242)	1:1617:A:GLU:N	1:1617:A:GLU:CA	1:1617:A:GLU:C	1:1618:A:ALA:N	9	2.97	1.01	3.28
(1,159)	1:1558:A:ASN:C	1:1559:A:CYS:N	1:1559:A:CYS:CA	1:1559:A:CYS:C	7	1.53	0.26	1.53
(1,219)	1:1602:A:SER:C	1:1603:A:GLY:N	1:1603:A:GLY:CA	1:1603:A:GLY:C	6	1.38	0.27	1.38
(1,291)	1:1645:A:ALA:C	1:1646:A:CYS:N	1:1646:A:CYS:CA	1:1646:A:CYS:C	5	1.77	0.84	1.11
(1,45)	2:37:B:ARG:N	2:37:B:ARG:CA	2:37:B:ARG:C	2:38:B:ARG:N	4	3.11	0.33	3.08
(1,173)	1:1569:A:GLN:C	1:1570:A:THR:N	1:1570:A:THR:CA	1:1570:A:THR:C	4	2.54	0.54	2.48
(1,37)	2:30:B:ARG:N	2:30:B:ARG:CA	2:30:B:ARG:C	2:31:B:PRO:N	4	2.22	0.54	2.07

<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,294)	1:1647:A:GLU:N	1:1647:A:GLU:CA	1:1647:A:GLU:C	1:1648:A:PRO:N	5	14.02
(1,294)	1:1647:A:GLU:N	1:1647:A:GLU:CA	1:1647:A:GLU:C	1:1648:A:PRO:N	14	12.91
(1,294)	1:1647:A:GLU:N	1:1647:A:GLU:CA	1:1647:A:GLU:C	1:1648:A:PRO:N	9	5.72
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	5	4.92
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	1	4.68
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	7	4.5
(1,242)	1:1617:A:GLU:N	1:1617:A:GLU:CA	1:1617:A:GLU:C	1:1618:A:ALA:N	14	4.39
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	11	4.21
(1,242)	1:1617:A:GLU:N	1:1617:A:GLU:CA	1:1617:A:GLU:C	1:1618:A:ALA:N	3	4.17
(1,137)	1:1542:A:TYR:N	1:1542:A:TYR:CA	1:1542:A:TYR:C	1:1543:A:SER:N	9	4.06