



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 24, 2024 – 09:28 AM EDT

PDB ID : 6GD5
BMRB ID : 34261
Title : The solution structure of the LptA-Thanatin complex
Authors : Moehle, K.; Zerbe, O.
Deposited on : 2018-04-22

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.37.1


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 81%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$.

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	117	 93%
2	B	21	 90% 10%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 7 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:30-A:141, B:203-B:221 (131)	1.61	7

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18
2	19, 20
3	5, 13
4	12, 14
Single-model clusters	3; 11

3 Entry composition [i](#)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2122 atoms, of which 1058 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	117	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1771	559	875	151	183	3	

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Thanatin.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
2	B	21	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			351	103	183	35	27	3	

4 Residue-property plots


4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA



- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  78% 18%




- Molecule 2: Thanatin

Chain B:  86% 5% 10%




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  89% 7%




- Molecule 2: Thanatin

Chain B:  90% 10%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7 (medoid)


- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  79% 16%




- Molecule 2: Thanatin

Chain B:  67% 19% 5% 10%




4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  90% 6% .




- Molecule 2: Thanatin

Chain B:  90% 10%




4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  82% 13% . .




- Molecule 2: Thanatin


Chain B:  90% 10%




4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA

Chain A:  88% 8% .




- Molecule 2: Thanatin

Chain B:  86% 5% 10%




4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA




- Molecule 2: Thanatin




4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptA



- Molecule 2: Thanatin



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure calculation	3.98
Xplor-NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1451
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1451
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	81%

6 Model quality [i](#)

6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	855	830	830	8±3
2	B	158	173	173	2±2
All	All	20260	20060	20060	166

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 4.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:124:THR:HA	1:A:139:ASP:O	0.65	1.91	20	2
1:A:87:ASP:HB3	1:A:113:HIS:CD2	0.64	2.28	19	2
1:A:68:ASN:O	1:A:93:ALA:HA	0.63	1.94	7	8
1:A:74:VAL:HB	1:A:76:ARG:CZ	0.62	2.25	2	1
1:A:111:GLN:CG	1:A:124:THR:HB	0.59	2.27	17	3
1:A:127:ALA:HB3	1:A:138:GLY:HA3	0.59	1.75	9	2
1:A:76:ARG:CZ	2:B:221:MET:HG2	0.58	2.28	15	1
1:A:128:TYR:CE1	1:A:137:LYS:HD2	0.58	2.33	15	1
1:A:74:VAL:HB	1:A:76:ARG:NH1	0.58	2.14	2	1
2:B:209:ILE:HG22	2:B:218:CYS:SG	0.57	2.40	3	2
1:A:95:PHE:O	1:A:106:GLU:HA	0.57	2.00	4	3
1:A:55:THR:HA	1:A:70:ASP:O	0.56	2.00	7	2
1:A:65:ILE:HA	1:A:96:TYR:O	0.56	2.00	14	4

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:44:SER:OG	1:A:53:THR:HB	0.56	2.01	2	1
1:A:107:GLY:HA2	1:A:128:TYR:O	0.55	2.00	19	7
1:A:76:ARG:CZ	1:A:84:GLU:HB2	0.55	2.32	2	1
1:A:111:GLN:HB3	1:A:124:THR:HG22	0.54	1.80	3	1
1:A:74:VAL:HA	1:A:85:VAL:O	0.53	2.03	15	4
1:A:105:VAL:HG13	1:A:130:GLN:O	0.51	2.05	4	1
1:A:67:ILE:HA	1:A:94:THR:O	0.51	2.05	17	4
1:A:84:GLU:HB2	2:B:208:ILE:HD12	0.51	1.81	14	1
1:A:50:ASN:HB3	1:A:76:ARG:O	0.51	2.06	17	2
1:A:67:ILE:HG21	1:A:112:MET:SD	0.51	2.46	17	2
1:A:82:GLY:O	1:A:116:LEU:HB2	0.51	2.04	2	1
1:A:97:GLN:CG	1:A:105:VAL:HB	0.51	2.36	7	2
1:A:108:HIS:O	1:A:127:ALA:HA	0.51	2.06	6	2
1:A:113:HIS:CE1	1:A:121:VAL:HG13	0.50	2.42	5	1
1:A:93:ALA:O	1:A:108:HIS:HA	0.49	2.07	2	6
1:A:41:ASP:O	2:B:214:ARG:HB2	0.49	2.07	19	6
1:A:97:GLN:HB3	1:A:105:VAL:HG22	0.49	1.85	12	1
1:A:62:GLN:O	1:A:65:ILE:HG12	0.48	2.09	3	1
1:A:137:LYS:N	1:A:137:LYS:HD3	0.48	2.23	15	1
1:A:34:GLN:OE1	1:A:35:PRO:HD2	0.48	2.08	17	1
1:A:85:VAL:HA	1:A:115:GLU:OE1	0.48	2.08	15	1
1:A:76:ARG:CZ	2:B:221:MET:HG3	0.47	2.38	4	1
1:A:43:GLN:NE2	2:B:210:TYR:HB3	0.47	2.24	17	2
2:B:212:ASN:OD1	2:B:215:THR:HG22	0.47	2.09	15	3
1:A:97:GLN:O	1:A:104:PRO:HA	0.47	2.09	10	1
1:A:54:PHE:HZ	2:B:210:TYR:CD2	0.47	2.27	15	3
1:A:31:ASP:OD1	1:A:64:THR:HG22	0.47	2.09	1	1
1:A:129:LEU:O	1:A:135:ASN:HB3	0.47	2.10	2	1
1:A:52:VAL:HB	1:A:74:VAL:CG2	0.47	2.39	20	3
1:A:60:VAL:O	1:A:66:LYS:HA	0.47	2.09	8	1
1:A:76:ARG:HG3	1:A:84:GLU:OE1	0.47	2.09	18	1
1:A:123:LEU:O	1:A:140:LYS:HA	0.47	2.10	2	1
1:A:76:ARG:NH2	2:B:208:ILE:HB	0.47	2.25	3	1
1:A:68:ASN:HB3	1:A:94:THR:OG1	0.47	2.10	12	1
1:A:36:ILE:HD11	1:A:116:LEU:HD23	0.46	1.86	20	1
1:A:31:ASP:CG	1:A:63:GLY:HA3	0.46	2.31	8	1
1:A:113:HIS:NE2	1:A:122:VAL:HB	0.46	2.26	6	1
1:A:85:VAL:HB	1:A:113:HIS:NE2	0.46	2.25	13	1
1:A:84:GLU:CD	1:A:85:VAL:H	0.45	2.15	1	1
1:A:94:THR:HA	1:A:107:GLY:O	0.45	2.11	11	2
1:A:74:VAL:HB	1:A:84:GLU:CD	0.45	2.31	20	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:59:ILE:HA	1:A:67:ILE:O	0.45	2.11	10	1
1:A:50:ASN:ND2	1:A:76:ARG:HB3	0.45	2.27	19	1
1:A:40:SER:O	2:B:212:ASN:HA	0.45	2.11	1	1
1:A:97:GLN:HB3	1:A:105:VAL:CG1	0.45	2.41	17	1
1:A:39:GLU:HA	2:B:211:CYS:O	0.45	2.12	9	1
1:A:128:TYR:CE2	1:A:130:GLN:HB2	0.45	2.47	20	1
2:B:221:MET:HA	2:B:221:MET:CE	0.45	2.42	7	2
1:A:76:ARG:HD3	1:A:84:GLU:CD	0.44	2.33	5	1
1:A:64:THR:O	1:A:97:GLN:HA	0.44	2.12	7	1
1:A:76:ARG:NH2	2:B:221:MET:HB3	0.44	2.27	9	1
2:B:214:ARG:HD3	2:B:214:ARG:O	0.44	2.12	18	1
1:A:76:ARG:HA	1:A:76:ARG:NE	0.44	2.27	1	1
1:A:111:GLN:HG3	1:A:124:THR:HB	0.44	1.88	17	1
2:B:204:LYS:HE2	2:B:204:LYS:HA	0.44	1.89	19	1
1:A:137:LYS:O	1:A:141:ILE:HD13	0.44	2.13	10	1
1:A:67:ILE:HD13	1:A:112:MET:SD	0.44	2.53	14	2
1:A:43:GLN:OE1	2:B:212:ASN:HB2	0.44	2.13	4	1
1:A:113:HIS:O	1:A:121:VAL:HA	0.44	2.12	19	1
1:A:129:LEU:O	1:A:135:ASN:HA	0.43	2.13	14	1
1:A:89:TYR:HA	1:A:110:SER:O	0.43	2.13	5	1
1:A:39:GLU:OE1	2:B:213:ARG:HD3	0.43	2.13	7	1
1:A:97:GLN:HB3	1:A:105:VAL:HB	0.43	1.90	4	1
1:A:138:GLY:HA2	1:A:141:ILE:CD1	0.43	2.44	19	1
1:A:52:VAL:HG21	2:B:210:TYR:CE1	0.43	2.49	4	3
1:A:82:GLY:HA2	2:B:208:ILE:CD1	0.43	2.44	16	1
1:A:112:MET:HG2	1:A:123:LEU:CD2	0.43	2.44	7	1
1:A:61:THR:HA	1:A:65:ILE:O	0.43	2.14	3	1
1:A:88:GLY:O	1:A:111:GLN:HA	0.42	2.14	9	1
1:A:118:LYS:HB3	1:A:120:PHE:CE2	0.42	2.48	15	1
1:A:86:ILE:O	1:A:113:HIS:HA	0.42	2.14	1	1
1:A:55:THR:HG23	1:A:71:LYS:HD2	0.42	1.91	4	1
2:B:212:ASN:OD1	2:B:214:ARG:HB3	0.42	2.14	17	1
1:A:102:GLY:C	1:A:103:LYS:HD3	0.42	2.35	17	1
1:A:76:ARG:HG3	1:A:84:GLU:CD	0.42	2.35	18	1
1:A:131:GLN:HB2	1:A:134:SER:O	0.42	2.15	8	2
1:A:127:ALA:HB3	1:A:138:GLY:O	0.41	2.15	8	1
1:A:84:GLU:HG3	2:B:208:ILE:HD12	0.41	1.91	2	1
2:B:203:LYS:O	2:B:205:PRO:HD3	0.41	2.16	8	1
1:A:121:VAL:HG12	1:A:123:LEU:HD11	0.41	1.93	16	1
1:A:87:ASP:HA	1:A:112:MET:O	0.41	2.16	19	1
2:B:203:LYS:N	2:B:203:LYS:HD2	0.41	2.30	20	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:138:GLY:HA2	1:A:141:ILE:HD11	0.41	1.92	19	1
1:A:107:GLY:HA3	1:A:129:LEU:HD23	0.41	1.93	7	1
1:A:36:ILE:HG12	1:A:62:GLN:OE1	0.40	2.16	5	1
1:A:62:GLN:HA	1:A:62:GLN:OE1	0.40	2.15	7	1
1:A:77:PRO:HD2	1:A:84:GLU:OE1	0.40	2.16	12	1
1:A:64:THR:OG1	1:A:98:MET:HB3	0.40	2.16	1	1
1:A:43:GLN:HG2	2:B:212:ASN:HB2	0.40	1.93	18	1
1:A:117:ALA:O	1:A:118:LYS:HB2	0.40	2.16	20	1
1:A:83:LYS:HG2	1:A:83:LYS:O	0.40	2.15	5	1
1:A:87:ASP:HB3	1:A:113:HIS:NE2	0.40	2.30	15	1
1:A:76:ARG:HG3	1:A:84:GLU:OE2	0.40	2.16	18	1
1:A:112:MET:SD	1:A:123:LEU:HG	0.40	2.57	16	1
1:A:50:ASN:HD22	1:A:76:ARG:HB3	0.40	1.77	19	1

6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	112/117 (96%)	97±3 (87±3%)	13±3 (12±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	16	63
2	B	18/21 (86%)	17±1 (95±5%)	1±1 (5±5%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	2600/2760 (94%)	2291 (88%)	281 (11%)	28 (1%)	18	66

All 15 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	57	ASN	7
1	A	92	PRO	5
1	A	77	PRO	2
1	A	118	LYS	2
1	A	30	GLY	2
1	A	85	VAL	1
1	A	135	ASN	1
1	A	63	GLY	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	117	ALA	1
1	A	104	PRO	1
1	A	119	ASP	1
1	A	31	ASP	1
1	A	78	GLY	1
1	A	99	GLN	1
1	A	140	LYS	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	94/99 (95%)	90±2 (95±2%)	4±2 (5±2%)	31	79
2	B	18/19 (95%)	17±1 (97±4%)	1±1 (3±4%)	41	87
All	All	2240/2360 (95%)	2141 (96%)	99 (4%)	32	81

All 42 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	137	LYS	11
1	A	115	GLU	6
1	A	38	ILE	5
1	A	55	THR	5
2	B	221	MET	4
1	A	43	GLN	4
1	A	99	GLN	4
1	A	118	LYS	4
1	A	84	GLU	3
1	A	112	MET	3
1	A	64	THR	3
1	A	116	LEU	3
1	A	61	THR	3
1	A	31	ASP	2
1	A	83	LYS	2
1	A	129	LEU	2
1	A	140	LYS	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	50	ASN	2
1	A	94	THR	2
1	A	113	HIS	2
1	A	111	GLN	2
1	A	103	LYS	2
2	B	204	LYS	2
1	A	76	ARG	2
2	B	214	ARG	2
1	A	75	THR	1
2	B	220	ARG	1
1	A	98	MET	1
2	B	213	ARG	1
1	A	120	PHE	1
2	B	219	GLN	1
1	A	123	LEU	1
1	A	130	GLN	1
1	A	81	GLN	1
1	A	106	GLU	1
1	A	47	MET	1
1	A	91	LYS	1
2	B	217	LYS	1
1	A	71	LYS	1
1	A	100	ASP	1
1	A	66	LYS	1
1	A	87	ASP	1

6.3.3 RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 81% for the well-defined parts and 79% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *th_num_noQ.str*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	229
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	229
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 13%, i.e. 222 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1743. 0 out of 17 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Backbone	69/657 (11%)	35/270 (13%)	19/262 (7%)	15/125 (12%)
Sidechain	147/990 (15%)	97/638 (15%)	48/310 (15%)	2/42 (5%)
Aromatic	6/96 (6%)	4/47 (9%)	2/46 (4%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	222/1743 (13%)	136/955 (14%)	69/618 (11%)	17/170 (10%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 13%, i.e. 229 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1829. 0 out of 19 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	73/693 (11%)	37/285 (13%)	20/276 (7%)	16/132 (12%)
Sidechain	150/1031 (15%)	99/666 (15%)	49/323 (15%)	2/42 (5%)
Aromatic	6/105 (6%)	4/51 (8%)	2/51 (4%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	229/1829 (13%)	140/1002 (14%)	71/650 (11%)	18/177 (10%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *LptA_num_noQ.str*

7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1222
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1222
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	114	-0.17 ± 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	101	-0.23 ± 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	101	0.46 ± 0.15	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	102	-0.53 ± 0.48	None needed (imprecise)

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 68%, i.e. 1191 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1743. 0 out of 17 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	533/657 (81%)	222/270 (82%)	211/262 (81%)	100/125 (80%)
Sidechain	620/990 (63%)	419/638 (66%)	198/310 (64%)	3/42 (7%)
Aromatic	38/96 (40%)	25/47 (53%)	13/46 (28%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	1191/1743 (68%)	666/955 (70%)	422/618 (68%)	103/170 (61%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 67%, i.e. 1221 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1829. 0 out of 19 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	544/693 (78%)	227/285 (80%)	215/276 (78%)	102/132 (77%)
Sidechain	634/1031 (61%)	429/666 (64%)	202/323 (63%)	3/42 (7%)
Aromatic	43/105 (41%)	29/51 (57%)	14/51 (27%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	1221/1829 (67%)	685/1002 (68%)	431/650 (66%)	105/177 (59%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

